Supplementary file of Asymptotic theory for maximum deviations of sample covariance matrix estimates

Han Xiao^a, Wei Biao Wu^b

^aDepartment of Statistics and Biostatistics, Rutgers University, 501 Hill Center, 110 Frelinghuysen Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854, United States ^bDepartment of Statistics, The University of Chicago, 5734 S. University Ave, Chicago, IL 60637, United States

Abstract

In this document we give the proofs of Lemma 3, Lemma 4 and Lemma 7 of the main article.

In this document we give the proofs of Lemma 3, Lemma 4 and Lemma 7 of the main article. The lemmas and equations introduced in this document are numbered with a "S"-prefix.

Proof of Lemma 3. Assume X_i has mean zero and variance one. Let $\gamma_k = \mathbb{E}(X_0 X_k)$ be the autocovariance of lag k. Then by Proposition 8, Eq. (34) of [1], we know

$$|\gamma_k| \le \Psi_2 \cdot \Psi_2(|k|). \tag{S.1}$$

(i) Since $\Psi_4 < \infty$, we know for any $\eta > 0$, there exists a $N_1 > 0$ such that $|\gamma_k| < \eta$ when $k \ge N_1$. For $j \le k$, define $\tilde{X}_{k,j} = g(\epsilon_k, \ldots, \epsilon_{j+1}, \epsilon'_j, \epsilon'_{j-1}, \ldots)$, where $(\epsilon'_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an i.i.d. copy of $(\epsilon_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$. By Eq. (38) of [1], we know there exists a $N_2 > 0$ such that when $k \ge N_2$, $||X_k - \tilde{X}_k||_4 \le \eta$. Set $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$, when $k \ge N$, we have

$$\operatorname{Var}(X_0 X_k) = \mathbb{E}(X_0^2 X_k^2) - \gamma_k^2 = \mathbb{E}\left(X_k^2 X_{k,j}^2\right) + \mathbb{E}\left[X_0^2 (X_k^2 - X_{k,j}^2)\right] - \gamma_k^2$$

$$\geq 1 - \eta^2 - 2\|X_0\|_4^3 \cdot \eta.$$

Therefore, (A1) holds because η can be arbitrarily small.

(ii) We need to show that

$$\sup_{j\geq 0,\,0\leq k\leq l,\,(0,j)\neq (k,l)}\operatorname{Cor}(X_0X_j,X_kX_l)<1.$$

It suffices to show that for some N > 0

$$\sup_{j\geq 0, 0\leq k\leq l, (0,j)\neq (k,l), j+k+l\geq N} \operatorname{Cor}(X_0X_j, X_kX_l) < 1.$$

If $j + k + l \ge N$, then the set $\{0, j, k, l\}$ can be partitioned into two non-empty subsets \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 whose distance is no less than N/6. We only consider this type of partitions. If there is a partition such that one of \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 has cardinality one, then similarly as (i), we know for any $\eta > 0$, when N is large enough,

$$|\operatorname{Cov}(X_0X_j, X_kX_l)| = |\mathbb{E}(X_0X_jX_kX_l) - \gamma_j\gamma_{l-k}| \le \eta.$$

Preprint submitted to Elsevier

April 20, 2013

If for any partition both \mathcal{B}_1 and \mathcal{B}_2 has cardinality two, there are two sub-cases. (a) $j < k \leq l$ and $k - j \geq N/6$. For any $\eta > 0$, when N is large enough, we have

$$|\operatorname{Cov}(X_0X_j, X_kX_l)| = |\mathbb{E}\left[X_0X_j(X_kX_l - X_{k,j}X_{l,j})\right]| \le \eta.$$

(b) $\min\{j,l\} - k \ge N/6$. As in (i), for any $\eta > 0$, when N is large enough, we have $\operatorname{Var}(X_0X_j) \ge 1 - \eta$, $\operatorname{Var}(X_kX_l) \ge 1 - \eta$, and $|\gamma_j\gamma_{l-k}| < \eta$. On the other hand, the condition $\Psi_4 > 0$ guarantees that the process is non-deterministic, and hence $\gamma := \sup_{t\ge 1} |\gamma_t| < 1$. It follows that when N is large enough

$$|\mathbb{E}(X_0X_jX_kX_l)| = |\mathbb{E}(X_0X_{j,k}X_kX_{l,k}) + \mathbb{E}[X_0X_k(X_jX_l - X_{j,k}X_{l,k})]|$$

$$\leq \gamma + \eta.$$

Therefore,

$$|\operatorname{Cor}(X_0X_j, X_kX_l)| \le (\gamma + 2\eta)/(1 - \eta) < 1$$

when η is small enough. The proof of (ii) is now complete. (iii) We first consider (A3). Note that

$$\operatorname{Cov}(X_i X_j, X_k X_l) = \operatorname{Cum}(X_i, X_j, X_k, X_l) + \gamma_{i-k} \gamma_{j-l} + \gamma_{i-l} \gamma_{j-k},$$

where $\operatorname{Cum}(X_i, X_j, X_k, X_l)$ is the fourth order joint cumulant of $(X_i, X_j, X_k, X_l)^{\top}$. Fix a subset $\{i, j\}$, for any integer b > 0, there are at most $8b^2$ subsets $\{k, l\}$ such that $\{k.l\} \subset B(i; b) \cup B(j; b)$, where B(x; r) is the open ball $\{y : |x - y| < r\}$. For all other subsets $\{k, l\}$, by (S.1), we have

$$|\gamma_{i-k}\gamma_{j-l} + \gamma_{i-l}\gamma_{j-k}| \le C\Psi_4(b).$$

On the other hand, using similar arguments as Theorem 21 of [1], we can show that

$$|\operatorname{Cum}(X_i, X_j, X_k, X_l)| \le C\Psi_4(\lfloor b/2 \rfloor).$$

Therefore, if $\Psi_4(k) = o(1/\log k)$ as $k \to \infty$, then (A3) holds. Now we turn to (A3'). Write

$$\operatorname{Cov}(X_i X_j, X_k X_l) = \mathbb{E}(X_i X_j X_k X_l) - \gamma_{i-j} \gamma_{k-l}.$$

By (S.1), it is easily seen that

$$\sum_{1 \le i,j,k,l \le m} \gamma_{i-j}^2 \gamma_{k-l}^2 = O(m^{4-2\delta}).$$

It then suffices to show

$$\sum_{1 \le i \le j \le k \le l \le m} [\mathbb{E}(X_i X_j X_k X_l)]^2 = O(m^{4-\delta}),$$

which is true because by Eq. (38) of [1]

$$[\mathbb{E}(X_i X_j X_k X_l)]^2 = [\mathbb{E}(X_i X_j X_k (X_l - X_{l,k}))]^2 \le 12 \|X_0\|_4^6 [\Psi_4 (l-k)]^2.$$

The proof of Lemma 3 is now complete.

We now give the proof of Lemma 4.

Proof of Lemma 4. Suppose (Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4) has a joint normal distribution. We can write $Y_i = \alpha_i^{\top} \mathbf{Z}$, where \mathbf{Z} is a four dimensional standard Gaussian random vector. For any $0 < \nu < 1$, define the subset of \mathbb{R}^{16} ,

$$D_{\nu} = \left\{ (\alpha_1^{\top}, \alpha_2^{\top}, \alpha_3^{\top}, \alpha_4^{\top}) : |\alpha_i|^2 = 1 \text{ and } |\alpha_i^{\top} \alpha_j| \le 1 - \nu \text{ for } 1 \le i \ne j \le 4 \right\}.$$

Since $|Cor(Y_1Y_2, Y_3Y_4)|$ is a continuous function on D_{ν} , and D_{ν} is compact, the maximum correlation is attained at some point in D_{ν} .

On the other hand, elementary calculation shows that $Cor(Y_1Y_2, Y_3Y_4) = 1$ if and only if Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4 are all perfectly correlated. The proof is now complete.

The proof of Lemma 7 is a refined version of that of Lemma 20 in [1]. We need the following bounds on normal tail probabilities, which are taken from Lemma 19 of [1].

Denote by $\varphi_d((r_{ij}); x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ the density of a *d*-dimensional multivariate normal random vector $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \ldots, X_d)^{\top}$ with mean zero and covariance matrix (r_{ij}) , where we always assume $r_{ii} = 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$ and (r_{ij}) is nonsingular. Let

$$Q_d((r_{ij});z) = \int_z^\infty \cdots \int_z^\infty \varphi_d((r_{ij}), x_1, \dots, x_d) \, \mathrm{d}x_d \cdots \, \mathrm{d}x_1.$$

Lemma S.1. For every z > 0, 0 < s < 1, $d \ge 1$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there exists positive constants C_d and ϵ_d such that for $0 < \epsilon < \epsilon_d$

1. if $|r_{ij}| < \epsilon$ for all $1 \le i < j \le d$, then

$$Q_d\left((r_{ij});z\right) \le C_d f_d(\epsilon, 1/z) \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{d}{2} - C_d \epsilon\right) z^2\right\}$$
(S.2)

where $f_{2k}(x,y) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} x^l y^{2(k-l)}$ and $f_{2k-1}(x,y) = \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} x^l y^{2(k-l)-1}$ for $k \ge 1$; 2. if for all $1 \le i < j \le d+1$ such that $(i,j) \ne (1,2), |r_{ij}| \le \epsilon$, then

$$Q_{d+1}((r_{ij});z) \le C_d \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{(1-|r_{12}|)^2 + d}{2} - C_d\epsilon\right)z^2\right\}.$$
(S.3)

We first give a one-sided version of Lemma 7 and its proof, then we show how it implies Lemma 7.

Lemma S.2. Assume either (B1) or (B2). For a positive real number z_n , define the event $A_{n,i}$ and $Q_{n,d}$ as

$$A_{n,i} = \{X_{n,i} > z_n\}$$
 and $Q_{n,d} = \sum_{\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{I}_n, |\mathcal{A}| = d} P\left(\bigcap_{i \in \mathcal{A}} A_{n,i}\right).$

If z_n satisfies that $z_n^2 = 2\log s_n - \log\log s_n - \log(4\pi) + 2z + o(1)$, then for all $d \ge 1$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Q_{n,d} = \frac{e^{-dz}}{d!}.$$

Proof. The following facts about normal tail probabilities are well-known:

$$P(X_1 \ge x) \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} e^{-x^2/2} \text{ for } x > 0 \text{ and } \lim_{x \to \infty} \frac{P(X_1 \ge x)}{(1/x)(2\pi)^{-1/2} \exp\left\{-x^2/2\right\}} = 1.$$
 (S.4)

By the assumption on z_n , if for each $n, X_{n,i}, i \in \mathcal{I}_n$ are i.i.d., then by (S.4),

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Q_{n,d} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \binom{n}{d} Q_d(I_d, z_n)$$
$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \binom{n}{d} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} z_n^d} \exp\left\{-\frac{dz_n^2}{2}\right\} = \frac{e^{-dz}}{d!}.$$

When the $X_{n,i}$'s are dependent, the result is still trivially true when d = 1. Now we deal with the $d \ge 2$ case. Suppose (b_n) is a sequence of positive numbers which approaches infinity. For each subset J of \mathcal{I}_n with cardinality |J| = d, we define an undirected graph $\mathscr{G}(J)$ by identifying each $i \in J$ with a node and saying i and j are adjacent if $|r_{n,i,j}| > \gamma(n, b_n)$. Suppose the graph $\mathscr{G}(J)$ has d-s connected components $\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_{d-s}$. If $s \ge 1$, assume w.l.o.g. that $|\mathcal{B}_1| \ge 2$. Pick $k_0, k_1 \in \mathcal{B}_1$, and $k_p \in \mathcal{B}_p$ for $2 \le p \le d-s$, and set $K = \{k_0, k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{d-s}\}$. Define $Q_J = P(\cap_{k \in J} A_k)$ and Q_K similarly, then $Q_J \le Q_K$. By (S.3) of Lemma S.1, there exists a number M > 1 depending on d and the sequences (γ_n) and (b_n) , such that when $n \ge M$,

$$Q_{K} \leq C_{d-s} \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{(1-\gamma_{n})^{2}+d-s}{2}-C_{d-s}\gamma(n,b_{n})\right)z_{n}^{2}\right\}$$
$$\leq C_{d-s} \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{d-s}{2}+\frac{(1-\gamma_{n})^{2}}{3}\right)z_{n}^{2}\right\}.$$

Note that $z_n^2 = 2 \log s_n - \log \log s_n + O(1)$. Pick $b_n = \lfloor s_n^{\alpha} \rfloor$ for some $\alpha < (1 - \gamma_n)^2/3d$. For any $1 \leq a \leq d-1$, since there are at most $O\left(b_n^a s_n^{d-a}\right)$ subsets $J \subset \mathcal{I}_n$ such that |J| = d and the graph $\mathscr{G}(L)$ has d-a connected components, we know the sum of Q_J over these J is dominated by

$$C_{d-a} \exp\left\{\log s_n \left((d-a) + \frac{2(d-1)(1-\gamma_n)^2}{3d} - (d-a) - \frac{2(1-\gamma_n)^2}{3} \right) \right\}$$

when n is large enough, which converges to zero. Therefore, it remains to consider all the subsets $J \subset \mathcal{I}_n$ such that the graph $\mathscr{G}(J)$ has no edges.

Let $J \subset \mathcal{I}_n$ be a subset such that |J| = d, and $|r_{n,i,j}| < \gamma(n, b_n)$ for all pairs i, j such that $i, j \in J$ and $i \neq j$, and $\mathcal{J}(d, b_n)$ be the collection of all such subsets. Let $(r_{ij})_{i,j\in J}$ be the *d*-dimensional covariance matrix of $\mathbf{X}_J := (X_{n,i})_{i\in J}$. There exists a matrix $R_J = \theta(r_{ij})_{i,j\in J} + (1-\theta)I_d$ for some $0 < \theta < 1$ such that

$$Q_J - Q_d(I_d, z_n) = \sum_{h, l \in J, h < l} \frac{\partial Q_d}{\partial r_{hl}} [R_J; z_n] r_{hl}.$$

Let R_H , $H = J \setminus \{h, l\}$, be the correlation matrix of the conditional distribution of X_H given X_h and X_l . By (S.2) of Lemma S.1, for *n* large enough

$$\frac{\partial Q_d}{\partial r_{hl}} [R_J; z_n] \le C \exp\left\{-\frac{z_n^2}{1+|r_{n,h,l}|}\right\} \cdot Q_{d-2} \left(R_K; (1-3\gamma(n,b_n))z_n\right)$$
$$\le CC_{d-2} f_{d-2}(\gamma(n,b_n), 1/z_n) \exp\left\{-\frac{z_n^2}{1+|r_{n,h,l}|}\right\}$$

$$\times \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{d-2}{2} - 2C_{d-2}\gamma(n,b_n)\right)(1 - 3\gamma(n,b_n))^2 z_n^2\right\} \\ \le C_d f_{d-2}(\gamma(n,b_n),1/z_n) \\ \times \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{d}{2} - (2C_{d-2} + 3(d-2))\gamma(n,b_n) - |r_{n,h,l}|\right) z_n^2\right\} \\ \le C_d f_{d-2}(\gamma(n,b_n),1/z_n) \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{d}{2} - C_d\gamma(n,b_n)\right) z_n^2\right\}.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}(d,b_n)} |Q_J - Q_d(I_d; z_n)|$$

$$\leq C_d f_{d-2}(\gamma(n, b_n), 1/z_n)$$

$$\times \sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}(d,b_n)} \sum_{i,j \in J; i \neq j} \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{d}{2} - C_d \gamma(n, b_n)\right) z_n^2\right\} |r_{n,i,j}|$$

$$\leq C_d f_{d-2}(\gamma(n, b_n), 1/z_n) s_n^{d-2}$$

$$\times \sum_{i,j \in \mathcal{I}_n}^* \exp\left\{-\left(\frac{d}{2} - C_d \gamma(n, b_n)\right) z_n^2\right\} |r_{n,i,j}|,$$
(S.5)

where the sum $\sum_{i,j\in\mathcal{I}_n}^*$ is over all the pair (i,j) such that $|r_{n,i,j}| \leq \gamma(n,b_n)$. Under the assumption (B1), we have

$$\sum_{\substack{I \in \mathcal{J}(d,b_n) \\ \leq C_d f_{d-2}(\gamma(n,b_n), 1/z_n)(\log s_n)^{d/2} \gamma(n,b_n) \exp\{C_d \gamma(n,b_n)(\log s_n)\}}.$$
(S.6)

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma(n,b_n) \log b_n = 0$, it holds that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \gamma(n,b_n) \log s_n = 0$. Using the fact that $\lim_{n\to\infty} (\log s_n)^{1/2}/z_n = 2^{-1/2}$, we have $\lim_{n\to\infty} f_{d-2}(\gamma(n,b_n),1/z_n)(\log s_n)^{d/2-1} = 2^{-d/2+1}$. Therefore, the term in (S.6) converges to zero, and the theorem holds under (B1).

Alternatively, if (B2) is true, from (S.5) we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{J \in \mathcal{J}(d,b_n)} |Q_J - Q_d(I_d; z_n)| \\ &\leq C_d f_{d-2}(\gamma(n, b_n), 1/z_n) s_n^{-2} (\log s_n)^{d/2} \sum_{i,j \in \mathcal{I}_n}^* \exp\left\{C_d \gamma(n, b_n) (\log s_n)\right\} |r_{n,i,j}| \\ &\leq C_d f_{d-2}(\gamma(n, b_n), 1/z_n) s_n^{-1} (\log s_n)^{d/2} \exp\left\{C_d \gamma(n, b_n) (\log s_n)\right\} \left(\sum_{i,j \in \mathcal{I}_n} r_{n,i,j}^2\right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C_d s_n^{-\delta/2} (\log s_n) \exp\left\{C_d \gamma(n, b_n) (\log s_n)\right\} = o(1), \end{split}$$

and the proof is complete.

Now we give the proof of Lemma 7.

Proof of Lemma 7. In the proof of Theorem S.2, the upper bounds on Q_J and $|Q_J - Q(I_d; z_n)|$ are expressed through the absolute values of the covariances, so we can obtain the same bounds for probabilities of the form $P(\bigcap_{1 \le i \le d} \{(-1)^{a_i} X_{t_i} \ge z_n\})$ for any $(a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \{0, 1\}^d$. Based on this observation, Lemma 7 is an immediate consequence of Lemma S.2.

H. Xiao, W. B. Wu, Asymptotic inference of autocovariances of stationary processes, *preprint*, available at http://arxiv.org/abs/1105.3423 (2011).